

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR SCC DATE TYPED 2/22/05 HB _____

SHORT TITLE State Parks Funding SB 531/SCONCS

ANALYST Hanika-Ortiz

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY05	FY06	FY05	FY06		
	See Narrative				General Fund

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 531/SCCS extends the 2004 nonrecurring capital costs appropriation received by EMNRD for state park expenditures into FY 05 and subsequent fiscal years. Any unexpended or unencumbered balances remaining at the end of a fiscal year shall not revert to the general fund but will remain in the state parks operating fund.

Significant Issues

The appropriation to the EMNRD in 2004 was for land acquisition, planning, construction and operational costs at Mesilla Valley Bosque State Park.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The LCS redrafted the original bill to clarify the intended purpose of the legislation which is to simply reauthorize and extend the time for expenditure of an existing and prior appropriation beyond FY04.

EMNRD notes the reauthorization and extension of time for expenditure of the prior existing appropriation might be accomplished in the General Appropriations Act which is part of the Execu-

tive FY06 request.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

HB 640, State Parks Funding
SB 578, State Parks Funding

TECHNICAL ISSUES

The original bill mistakenly created a new appropriation when the original intent was to extend the time for expenditure of an appropriation received in 2004 to subsequent years. The substitute bill was drafted to address and correct the problem.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL?

Because the authorization is part of the Executive's 2006 request, there should be no consequence.

AHO/yr:lg